

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/898,894	WATANABE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Etienne P. LeRoux	2161	

All Participants:

 (1) Etienne P. LeRoux.

 (2) Shannen Delaney.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-9 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested in view of the most recent claim amendments that claims 1-9 and 49 include allowable subject matter. In order to advance prosecution, examiner suggested that claims other than the above be cancelled. After obtaining agreement from the inventor, Ms. Shannen Delaney granted permission to cancel claims 10-30, 36, 38-44 and 46-48.